## Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of facilitating enterprise change comprising:

treating generating, via an architecture designed to a platform, two or more

information systems as a single logical information system across two or more enterprise base system servers connected to at least one database through base system connectors to execute pre-change due diligence and post-change integration of the enterprise change, wherein the enterprise change is at least one of a merger and acquisition;

identifying a synergy via the single logical information system;

conducting [[a]] the synergy via a graphical user interface;

creating at least one comparison of the synergy against a synergy target for the synergy by measuring the synergy against the synergy target;

capturing a history of the enterprise change; and

applying the history to at least one subsequent enterprise change to achieve a subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change.


2-6. (Cancelled)

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the graphical user interface further comprises:

a scale of measurement for the synergy; and

a selector for approval of the synergy.

Response dated 07 July 2009



16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 further comprising:

REPLY TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION OF 07 APRIL 2009

Serial Number: 10/768,405

Docket: 103580.00038 Response dated 07 July 2009

conducting at least one of an approval action, a rejection action, a cancellation action.

Page 4

and a storing action via an action interface.

17. (Cancelled)

18. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the graphical user interface further

comprises:

conducting at least one of a sales integration, an account transition, file sharing, and

discussions, via an integration interface, and wherein integration interface

features include at least one of a checklist for integration issues, and a panel

for generating a document request.

19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 18 wherein the integration interface includes

features for viewing at least one of deliverables and calendars.

20. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the synergy is at least one of a

value, performance, and effect that can be achieved wherein resources of at least two

enterprises combined will be greater than a sum of the resources of the at least two

enterprises individually.

21. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the synergy is based on, at least in

part, at least one of: a human resources group, one or more customers, one or more

suppliers, one or more sales, one or more services, one or more organizational

departments, one or more information technology issues, and one or more budgets.

22. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the synergy further comprises:

achieving business goals; and

assisting customers during the enterprise change.

23. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the subsequent synergy in the at

least one subsequent enterprise change is achieved using a closed-loop feedback.

24. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 23 wherein the closed-loop feedback further

comprises:

relaying the at least one comparison from the history to a subsequent graphical user

interface associated with the subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent

enterprise change; and

applying the at least one comparison to the at least one subsequent graphical user

interface to achieve the at least one subsequent enterprise change.

25. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10 wherein the panel adapted to present

merger related views further comprises at least one of:

a link to a file space view;

a link to a methodology view;

a link to a view of research:

Response dated 07 July 2009

a link to a view of reports; and a link to a view of a merger log.

26. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 12 wherein the financial checklist further comprises at least one of:

a risk checklist item:

a synergy checklist item;

a list of legal data checklist item;

a list of accounting data checklist item; and

a list of internal data checklist items, wherein the internal data comprises proprietary information.

27. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 12 further comprising:

using the financial checklist to generate a synergy.

28. (Currently Amended) A computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having a plurality of instructions stored thereon which, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform operations comprising:

treating generating, via an architecture designed to a platform, two or more

information systems as a single logical information system across two or more
enterprise base system servers connected to at least one database through base
system connectors to execute pre-change due diligence and post-change

integration of an the enterprise change, wherein the enterprise change is at

least one of a merger and acquisition;

identifying a synergy via the single logical information system;

conducting [[a]] the synergy via a graphical user interface;

creating at least one comparison of the synergy against a synergy target for the

synergy by measuring the synergy against the synergy target;

capturing a history of the enterprise change; and

applying the history to at least one subsequent enterprise change to achieve a

subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change.

29. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 28 wherein the synergy is

at least one of a value, performance, and effect that can be achieved wherein resources

of at least two enterprises combined will be greater than a sum of the resources of the

at least two enterprises individually.

30. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 28 wherein the synergy

further comprises:

achieving business goals; and

assisting customers during the enterprise change.

31. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 28 wherein the

subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change is achieved using

a closed-loop feedback.